



On the complexity of algebraic numbers II. Continued fractions.

Boris Adamczewski, Yann Bugeaud

► To cite this version:

Boris Adamczewski, Yann Bugeaud. On the complexity of algebraic numbers II. Continued fractions.. 2005. hal-00014575

HAL Id: hal-00014575

<https://hal.science/hal-00014575>

Preprint submitted on 28 Nov 2005

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the complexity of algebraic numbers II. Continued fractions

Boris ADAMCZEWSKI & Yann BUGEAUD *

1. Introduction

Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer. Émile Borel [9] conjectured that every real irrational algebraic number α should satisfy some of the laws shared by almost all real numbers with respect to their b -adic expansions. Despite some recent progress [1, 3, 7], we are still very far away from establishing such a strong result. In the present work, we are concerned with a similar question, where the b -adic expansion of α is replaced by its sequence of partial quotients. Recall that the continued fraction expansion of an irrational number α is eventually periodic if, and only if, α is a quadratic irrationality. However, very little is known regarding the size of the partial quotients of algebraic real numbers of degree at least three. Because of some numerical evidence and a belief that these numbers behave like most of the numbers in this respect, it is often conjectured that their partial quotients form an unbounded sequence, but we seem to be very far away from a proof (or a disproof). Apparently, Khintchine [16] was the first to consider such a question (see [4, 27, 29] for surveys including a discussion on this problem). Although almost nothing has been proved yet in this direction, some more general speculations are due to Lang [17], including the fact that algebraic numbers of degree at least three should behave like most of the numbers with respect to the Gauss–Khintchine–Kuzmin–Lévy laws.

More modestly, we may expect that if the sequence of partial quotients of an irrational number α is, in some sense, ‘simple’, then α is either quadratic or transcendental. The term ‘simple’ can of course lead to many interpretations. It may denote real numbers whose continued fraction expansion has some regularity, or can be produced by a simple algorithm (by a simple Turing machine, for example), or arises from a simple dynamical system... The main results of the present work are two new combinatorial transcendence criteria, which considerably improve upon those from [5, 13, 8]. It is of a particular interest that such criteria naturally yield, in a unified way, several new results on the different approaches of the above mentioned notion of simplicity/complexity for the continued fraction expansions of algebraic real numbers of degree at least three.

This article is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the statements of our two transcendence criteria. Several applications of them are then briefly discussed in Section 3. All the proofs are postponed to Sections 4 and 5.

* Supported by the Austrian Science Foundation FWF, grant M822-N12.

2. Transcendence criteria for stammering continued fractions

Before stating our theorems, we need to introduce some notation. Let \mathcal{A} be a given set, not necessarily finite. The length of a word W on the alphabet \mathcal{A} , that is, the number of letters composing W , is denoted by $|W|$. For any positive integer ℓ , we write W^ℓ for the word $W \dots W$ (ℓ times repeated concatenation of the word W). More generally, for any positive rational number x , we denote by W^x the word $W^{[x]}W'$, where W' is the prefix of W of length $[(x - [x])|W|]$. Here, and in all what follows, $[y]$ and $\lceil y \rceil$ denote, respectively, the integer part and the upper integer part of the real number y . Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$ be a sequence of elements from \mathcal{A} , that we identify with the infinite word $a_1 a_2 \dots a_\ell \dots$. Let w be a rational number with $w > 1$. We say that \mathbf{a} satisfies Condition $(*)_w$ if \mathbf{a} is not eventually periodic and if there exists a sequence of finite words $(V_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that:

- (i) For any $n \geq 1$, the word V_n^w is a prefix of the word \mathbf{a} ;
- (ii) The sequence $(|V_n|)_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing.

Roughly speaking, \mathbf{a} satisfies Condition $(*)_w$ if \mathbf{a} is not eventually periodic and if there exist infinitely many ‘non-trivial’ repetitions (the size of which is measured by w) at the beginning of the infinite word $a_1 a_2 \dots a_\ell \dots$.

Our transcendence criterion for ‘purely’ stammering continued fractions can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive integers. Let $(p_\ell/q_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$ denote the sequence of convergents to the real number*

$$\alpha := [0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell, \dots].$$

If there exists a rational number $w \geq 2$ such that \mathbf{a} satisfies Condition $()_w$, then α is transcendental. If there exists a rational number $w > 1$ such that \mathbf{a} satisfies Condition $(*)_w$, and if the sequence $(q_\ell^{1/\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$ is bounded (which is in particular the case when the sequence \mathbf{a} is bounded), then α is transcendental.*

The main interest of the first statement of Theorem 1 is that there is no condition on the growth of the sequence $(q_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$. Apparently, this fact has not been observed previously. The second statement of Theorem 1 improves upon Theorem 4 from [5], which requires, together with some extra rather constraining hypotheses, the stronger assumption $w > 3/2$. The condition that the sequence $(q_\ell^{1/\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$ has to be bounded is in general very easy to check, and is not very restrictive, since it is satisfied by almost all real numbers (in the sense of the Lebesgue measure). Apart from this assumption, Theorem 1 does not depend on the size of the partial quotients of α . This is in a striking contrast to all previous results [5, 13, 8], in which, roughly speaking, the size w of the repetition is required to be all the more large than the partial quotients are big. Unlike these results, our Theorem 1 can be easily applied even if α has unbounded partial quotients.

Unfortunately, in the statement of Theorem 1, the repetitions must appear at the very beginning of \mathbf{a} . Results from [13] allow a shift, whose length, however, must be controlled in terms of the size of the repetitions. Similar results cannot be deduced from our Theorem

1. However, many ideas from the proof of Theorem 1 can be used to deal also with this situation, under some extra assumptions, and to improve upon the transcendence criterion from [13].

Keep the notation introduced at the beginning of this section. Let w and w' be non-negative rational numbers with $w > 1$. We say that \mathbf{a} satisfies Condition $(**)_{w,w'}$ if \mathbf{a} is not eventually periodic and if there exist two sequences of finite words $(U_n)_{n \geq 1}$, $(V_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that:

- (i) For any $n \geq 1$, the word $U_n V_n^w$ is a prefix of the word \mathbf{a} ;
- (ii) The sequence $(|U_n|/|V_n|)_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded from above by w' ;
- (iii) The sequence $(|V_n|)_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing.

We are now ready to state our transcendence criterion for (general) stammering continued fractions.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive integers. Let $(p_\ell/q_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$ denote the sequence of convergents to the real number*

$$\alpha := [0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots].$$

Assume that the sequence $(q_\ell^{1/\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$ is bounded and set $M = \limsup_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} q_\ell^{1/\ell}$ and $m = \liminf_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} q_\ell^{1/\ell}$. Let w and w' be non-negative real numbers with

$$w > (2w' + 1) \frac{\log M}{\log m} - w'. \quad (1)$$

*If \mathbf{a} satisfies Condition $(**)_{w,w'}$, then α is transcendental.*

We display an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.

Corollary 1. *Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive integers. Let $(p_\ell/q_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$ denote the sequence of convergents to the real number*

$$\alpha := [0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell, \dots].$$

*Assume that the sequence $(q_\ell^{1/\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$ converges. Let w and w' be non-negative real numbers with $w > w' + 1$. If \mathbf{a} satisfies Condition $(**)_{w,w'}$, then α is transcendental.*

Our Theorem 2 improves Theorem 6.3 of Davison [13]. Indeed, to apply his transcendence criterion, w and w' must satisfy

$$w > \left(2w' + \frac{3}{2}\right) \frac{\log M}{\log m},$$

which is a far stronger condition than (1).

Theorems 1 and 2 yield many new results that could not be obtained with the earlier transcendence criteria. Some of them are stated in Section 3, while many others will be given in a subsequent work [2]. Theorems 1 and 2 are of the same spirit as the following result, established in [1, 3], and which deals with the transcendence of b -adic expansions.

Theorem ABL. *Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$ be a sequence of integers in $\{0, \dots, b-1\}$. Let w and w' be non-negative rational numbers with $w > 1$. If \mathbf{a} satisfies Condition $(**)_{w,w'}$, then the real number $\sum_{\ell \geq 1} a_\ell / b^\ell$ is transcendental.*

Theorem ABL is as strong for ‘purely’ stammering sequences as for general stammering sequences, provided that the repetitions do not occur too far away from the beginning of the infinite word. Unfortunately, we are unable to replace in Theorem 2 the assumption ‘ $w > w' + 1$ ’ by the weaker one ‘ $w > 1$ ’, occurring in Theorem ABL.

The main tool for the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, given in Section 4, is the Schmidt Subspace Theorem [25, 26]. This (more precisely, a p -adic version of it) is also the key auxiliary result for establishing Theorem ABL.

3. Applications to the complexity of algebraic continued fractions

Our transcendence criteria apply to establish that several well-known continued fractions are transcendental, including the Thue–Morse continued fraction (whose transcendence was first proved by M. Queffélec [21]), the Rudin–Shapiro continued fraction, folded continued fractions, continued fractions arising from perturbed symmetries (these sequences were introduced by Mendès France [18]), continued fractions considered by Davison [13] and Baxa [8], etc. These applications are discussed in details in [2], where complete proofs are given. We only focus here on applications related to our main problem, that is, to the complexity of algebraic numbers with respect to their continued fraction expansions.

3.1. An algorithmic approach

We first briefly discuss how the complexity of the continued fraction of real numbers can be interpreted in an algorithmic way. Following the pioneering work of Turing [28], a sequence is said to be computable if there exists a Turing machine capable to produce successively its terms. Later, Hartmanis and Stearns [15] proposed to emphasize the quantitative aspect of this notion, and to take into account the number $T(n)$ of operations needed by a (multitape) Turing machine to produce the first n terms of the sequence. In this regard, a real number is considered all the more simple than its continued fraction expansion can be produced very fast by a Turing machine.

Finite automata are one of the most basic models of computation and take thus place at the bottom of the hierarchy of Turing machines. In particular, such machines produce sequences in real time, that is, with $T(n) = O(n)$. An infinite sequence $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is said to be generated by a k -automaton if a_n is a finite-state function of the base- k representation of n . This means that there exists a finite automaton starting with the k -ary expansion of n as input and producing the term a_n as output. A nice reference on this topic is the book of Allouche and Shallit [6]. As a classical example of a sequence generated by a 2-automaton, we mention the famous binary Thue–Morse sequence $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 0} = 0110100110010\dots$. This sequence is defined as follows: a_n is equal to 0 (resp. to 1) if the sum of the digits in the binary expansion of n is even (resp. is odd). In view of the above discussion, we may

expect that finite automata are ‘too simple’ Turing machines to produce the continued fraction expansion of algebraic numbers that are neither rationals nor quadratics.

Problem 1. *Do there exist algebraic numbers of degree at least three whose continued fraction expansion can be produced by a finite automaton?*

Thanks to Cobham [11], we know that sequences generated by finite automata can be characterized in terms of iterations of morphisms of free monoids generated by finite sets. We recall now this useful description. For a finite set \mathcal{A} , let \mathcal{A}^* denote the free monoid generated by \mathcal{A} . The empty word is the neutral element of \mathcal{A}^* . Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two finite sets. An application from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B}^* can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism between the free monoids \mathcal{A}^* and \mathcal{B}^* . Such a homomorphism is called a morphism from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} . If there is a positive integer k such that each element of \mathcal{A} is mapped to a word of length k , then the morphism is called k -uniform or simply uniform. Similarly, an application from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism between the free monoids \mathcal{A}^* and \mathcal{B}^* . Such an application is called a coding (the term ‘letter-to-letter’ morphism is also used in the literature).

A morphism σ from \mathcal{A} into itself is said to be prolongable if there exists a letter a such that $\sigma(a) = aW$, where the word W is such that $\sigma^n(W)$ is a non-empty word for every $n \geq 0$. In that case, the sequence of finite words $(\sigma^n(a))_{n \geq 1}$ converges in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ (endowed with the product topology of the discrete topology on each copy of \mathcal{A}) to an infinite word \mathbf{a} . This infinite word is clearly a fixed point for σ . We say that a sequence \mathbf{b} is generated by the morphism σ if there exists a coding φ such that $\mathbf{b} = \varphi(\mathbf{a})$. If, moreover, every letter appearing in \mathbf{a} occurs at least twice, then we say that \mathbf{b} is generated by a recurrent morphism. If the alphabet \mathcal{A} has only two letters, then we say that \mathbf{b} is generated by a binary morphism. Furthermore, if σ is uniform, then we say that \mathbf{b} is generated by a uniform morphism.

For instance, the Fibonacci morphism σ defined on the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ by $\sigma(0) = 01$ and $\sigma(1) = 1$ is a binary, recurrent and non-uniform morphism which generates the celebrated Fibonacci infinite word

$$\mathbf{a} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma^n(0) = 010010100100101001\dots$$

Uniform morphisms and automatic sequences are strongly connected, as shown by the following result of Cobham [11].

Theorem (Cobham). *A sequence can be generated by a finite automaton if, and only if, it is generated by a uniform morphism.*

This useful description gives rise to the following challenging question.

Problem 2. *Do there exist algebraic numbers of degree at least three whose continued fraction expansion is generated by a morphism?*

Our main contribution towards both problems is the following result.

Theorem 3. *The continued fraction expansion of an algebraic number of degree at least three cannot be generated by a recurrent morphism.*

The class of primitive morphisms has been extensively studied. In particular, Theorem 3 fully solved a question studied by M. Queffélec [22]. We display the following direct consequence of Theorem 3.

Corollary 1. *The continued fraction expansion of an algebraic number of degree at least three cannot be generated by a binary morphism.*

Indeed, it is easy to see that binary morphisms are either recurrent or they generate only eventually periodic sequences.

3.2. A dynamical approach

In this Section, we discuss the notion of complexity of the continued fraction expansion of a real number from a dynamical point of view.

Let \mathcal{A} be a given set, finite or not. A subshift on \mathcal{A} is a symbolic dynamical system (X, S) , where S is the classical shift transformation defined from $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ into itself by $S((a_n)_{n \geq 1}) = (a_n)_{n \geq 2}$ and X is a subset of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ such that $S(X) \subset X$. With an infinite sequence \mathbf{a} in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$, we associate the subshift $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{a}} = (X, S)$, where $X := \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{a})}$ denotes the closure of the orbit of the sequence \mathbf{a} under the action of S . The complexity function $p_{\mathbf{a}}$ of a sequence \mathbf{a} associates with any positive integer n the number $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$ of distinct blocks of n consecutive letters occurring in it. More generally, the complexity function $p_{\mathcal{X}}$ of a subshift $\mathcal{X} = (X, S)$ associates with any positive integer n the number $p_{\mathcal{X}}(n)$ of distinct blocks of n consecutive letters occurring in at least one element of X .

With a subshift $\mathcal{X} = (X, S)$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ one can associate the set $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}} = \{\alpha \in (0, 1), \alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots] \text{ such that } (a_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

In particular, if a real number α lies in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$, then this is also the case for any β in $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha} := \overline{(T^n(\alpha))_{n \geq 0}}$, where T denotes the Gauss map, defined from $(0, 1)$ into itself by $T(x) = \{\frac{1}{x}\}$. Indeed, we clearly have $T([0; a_1, a_2, \dots]) = [0; a_2, a_3, \dots]$. A way to investigate the question of the complexity of the continued fraction expansion of α is to determine the behaviour of the sequence $(T^n(\alpha))_{n \geq 0}$ or, equivalently, to determine the structure of the underlying dynamical system $(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}, T)$. Roughly speaking, we can consider that the larger \mathcal{C}_{α} is, the more complex is the continued fraction expansion of α .

Thus, if the symbolic dynamical system \mathcal{X} has a too simple structure, for instance if it has a low complexity, we can expect that no algebraic number of degree at least three lies in the set $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$.

Problem 3. *Let \mathcal{X} be a subshift on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with sublinear complexity, that is, whose complexity function satisfies $p_{\mathcal{X}}(n) \leq Mn$ for some absolute constant M and any positive integer n . Does the set $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ only contain quadratic or transcendental numbers?*

Only very partial results are known in the direction of Problem 3. A famous result of Morse and Hedlund [19] states that a subshift \mathcal{X} whose complexity function satisfies

$p_{\mathcal{X}}(n) \leq n$ for some positive integer n must be periodic. In that case, it follows that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a finite set composed only of quadratic numbers. Further, it is shown in [5] that for a Sturmian subshift \mathcal{X} , that is, a subshift with complexity $p_{\mathcal{X}}(n) = n + 1$ for every $n \geq 1$, the set $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an uncountable set composed only by transcendental numbers. Theorem 4 slightly improves this result.

Theorem 4. *Let \mathcal{X} be a subshift on $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$. If the set $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ contains a real algebraic number of degree at least three, then the complexity function of \mathcal{X} satisfies*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} p_{\mathcal{X}}(n) - n = +\infty.$$

Linearly recurrent subshifts form a class of particular interest of subshifts of low complexity. Let $\mathcal{X} = (X, S)$ be a subshift and W be a finite word. The cylinder associated with W is, by definition, the subset $\langle W \rangle$ of X formed by the sequences that begin in the word W . A minimal subshift (X, S) is linearly recurrent if there exists a positive constant c such that for each cylinder $\langle W \rangle$ the return time to $\langle W \rangle$ under S is bounded by $c|W|$. Such dynamical systems, studied e.g. in [14], are uniquely ergodic and have a low complexity (in particular, they have zero entropy), but without being necessarily trivial. Another contribution to Problem 3 is given by Theorem 5.

Theorem 5. *Let \mathcal{X} be a linearly recurrent subshift on $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then, the set $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is composed only by quadratic or transcendental numbers.*

The proofs of Theorems 3 to 5 are postponed to Section 5.

4. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 rest on the following deep result, commonly known as the Schmidt Subspace Theorem.

Theorem A (W. M. Schmidt). *Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. Let L_1, \dots, L_m be linearly independent linear forms in $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ with algebraic coefficients. Let ε be a positive real number. Then, the set of solutions $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ in \mathbf{Z}^m to the inequality*

$$|L_1(\mathbf{x}) \dots L_m(\mathbf{x})| \leq (\max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_m|\})^{-\varepsilon}$$

lies in finitely many proper subspaces of \mathbf{Q}^m .

Proof : See e.g. [25] or [26]. The case $m = 3$ has been established earlier in [24]. □

Compared with the pioneering work [12] and the recent papers [21, 5, 13, 8], the novelty in the present paper is that we are able to use Theorem A with $m = 4$ and not only with $m = 3$, as in all of these works.

We further need an easy auxiliary result.

Lemma 1. Let $\alpha = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ and $\beta = [b_0; b_1, b_2, \dots]$ be real numbers. Assume that, for some positive integer m , we have $a_j = b_j$ for any $j = 0, \dots, m$. Then, we have

$$|\alpha - \beta| < q_m^{-2},$$

where q_m is the denominator of the convergent $[a_0; a_1, \dots, a_m]$.

Proof : Since $[a_0; a_1, \dots, a_m] =: p_m/q_m$ is a convergent to α and to β , the real numbers $\alpha - p_m/q_m$ and $\beta - p_m/q_m$ have the same sign and are both in absolute value less than q_m^{-2} , hence the lemma. \square

Now, we have all the tools to establish Theorems 1 and 2.

Proof of Theorem 1. Keep the notation and the hypothesis of this theorem. Assume that the parameter $w > 1$ is fixed, as well as the sequence $(V_n)_{n \geq 1}$ occurring in the definition of Condition $(*)_w$. Set also $s_n = |V_n|$, for any $n \geq 1$. We want to prove that the real number

$$\alpha := [0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$$

is transcendental. We assume that α is algebraic of degree at least three and we aim at deriving a contradiction. Throughout this Section, the constants implied by \ll depend only on α .

Let $(p_\ell/q_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$ denote the sequence of convergents to α . Observe first that we have

$$q_{\ell+1} \ll q_\ell^{1.1}, \quad \text{for any } \ell \geq 1, \quad (2)$$

by Roth's Theorem [23].

The key fact for the proof of Theorem 1 is the observation that α admits infinitely many good quadratic approximants obtained by truncating its continued fraction expansion and completing by periodicity. Precisely, for any positive integer n , we define the sequence $(b_k^{(n)})_{k \geq 1}$ by

$$b_{h+js_n}^{(n)} = a_h \quad \text{for } 1 \leq h \leq s_n \text{ and } j \geq 0.$$

The sequence $(b_k^{(n)})_{k \geq 1}$ is purely periodic with period V_n . Set

$$\alpha_n = [0; b_1^{(n)}, b_2^{(n)}, \dots]$$

and observe that α_n is root of the quadratic polynomial

$$P_n(X) := q_{s_n-1}X^2 + (q_{s_n} - p_{s_n-1})X - p_{s_n}.$$

By Rolle's Theorem and Lemma 1, for any positive integer n , we have

$$|P_n(\alpha)| = |P_n(\alpha) - P_n(\alpha_n)| \ll q_{s_n} |\alpha - \alpha_n| \ll q_{s_n} q_{[ws_n]}^{-2}, \quad (3)$$

since the first $[ws_n]$ partial quotients of α and α_n are the same. Furthermore, we clearly have

$$|q_{s_n}\alpha - p_{s_n}| \leq q_{s_n}^{-1} \quad (4)$$

and we infer from (2) that

$$|q_{s_n-1}\alpha - p_{s_n-1}| \leq q_{s_n-1}^{-1} \ll q_{s_n}^{-0.9}. \quad (5)$$

Consider now the four linearly independent linear forms:

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= \alpha^2 X_2 + \alpha(X_1 - X_4) - X_3, \\ L_2(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= \alpha X_1 - X_3, \\ L_3(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= X_1, \\ L_4(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= X_2. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating them on the quadruple $(q_{s_n}, q_{s_n-1}, p_{s_n}, p_{s_n-1})$, it follows from (3) and (4) that

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq 4} |L_j(q_{s_n}, q_{s_n-1}, p_{s_n}, p_{s_n-1})| \ll q_{s_n}^2 q_{[ws_n]}^{-2}. \quad (6)$$

By assumption, there exists a real number M such that $\log q_\ell \leq \ell \log M$ for any positive integer ℓ . Furthermore, an immediate induction shows that $q_{\ell+2} \geq 2q_\ell$ holds for any positive integer ℓ . Consequently, for any integer $n \geq 3$, we get

$$\frac{q_{[ws_n]}}{q_{s_n}} \geq \sqrt{2}^{[(w-1)s_n]-1} \geq q_{s_n}^{(w-1-2/s_n)(\log \sqrt{2})/\log M},$$

and we infer from (6) and $w > 1$ that

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq 4} |L_j(q_{s_n}, q_{s_n-1}, p_{s_n}, p_{s_n-1})| \ll q_{s_n}^{-\varepsilon}$$

holds for some positive real number ε , when n is large enough.

It then follows from Theorem A that the points $(q_{s_n}, q_{s_n-1}, p_{s_n}, p_{s_n-1})$ lie in a finite number of proper subspaces of \mathbf{Q}^4 . Thus, there exist a non-zero integer quadruple (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) and an infinite set of distinct positive integers \mathcal{N}_1 such that

$$x_1 q_{s_n} + x_2 q_{s_n-1} + x_3 p_{s_n} + x_4 p_{s_n-1} = 0, \quad (7)$$

for any n in \mathcal{N}_1 . Observe that $(x_2, x_4) \neq (0, 0)$, since, otherwise, by letting n tend to infinity along \mathcal{N}_1 in (7), we would get that the real number α is rational. Dividing (7) by q_{s_n} , we obtain

$$x_1 + x_2 \frac{q_{s_n-1}}{q_{s_n}} + x_3 \frac{p_{s_n}}{q_{s_n}} + x_4 \frac{p_{s_n-1}}{q_{s_n-1}} \cdot \frac{q_{s_n-1}}{q_{s_n}} = 0. \quad (8)$$

By letting n tend to infinity along \mathcal{N}_1 in (8), we get that

$$\beta := \lim_{\mathcal{N}_1 \ni n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{q_{s_n-1}}{q_{s_n}} = -\frac{x_1 + x_3 \alpha}{x_2 + x_4 \alpha}.$$

Furthermore, observe that, for any n in \mathcal{N}_1 , we have

$$\left| \beta - \frac{q_{s_n-1}}{q_{s_n}} \right| = \left| \frac{x_1 + x_3\alpha}{x_2 + x_4\alpha} - \frac{x_1 + x_3p_{s_n}/q_{s_n}}{x_2 + x_4p_{s_n-1}/q_{s_n-1}} \right| \ll \frac{1}{q_{s_n-1}^2} \ll \frac{1}{q_{s_n}^{1.8}}, \quad (9)$$

by (4) and (5). Since q_{s_n-1} and q_{s_n} are coprime and s_n tends to infinity when n tends to infinity along \mathcal{N}_1 , this implies that β is irrational.

Consider now the three linearly independent linear forms:

$$L'_1(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = \beta Y_1 - Y_2, \quad L'_2(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = \alpha Y_1 - Y_3, \quad L'_3(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = Y_1.$$

Evaluating them on the triple $(q_{s_n}, q_{s_n-1}, p_{s_n})$ with $n \in \mathcal{N}_1$, we infer from (4) and (9) that

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq 3} |L'_j(q_{s_n}, q_{s_n-1}, p_{s_n})| \ll q_{s_n}^{-0.8}.$$

It then follows from Theorem A that the points $(q_{s_n}, q_{s_n-1}, p_{s_n})$ with $n \in \mathcal{N}_1$ lie in a finite number of proper subspaces of \mathbf{Q}^3 . Thus, there exist a non-zero integer triple (y_1, y_2, y_3) and an infinite set of distinct positive integers \mathcal{N}_2 such that

$$y_1 q_{s_n} + y_2 q_{s_n-1} + y_3 p_{s_n} = 0, \quad (10)$$

for any n in \mathcal{N}_2 . Dividing (10) by q_{s_n} and letting n tend to infinity along \mathcal{N}_2 , we get

$$y_1 + y_2 \beta + y_3 \alpha = 0. \quad (11)$$

To obtain another equation linking α and β , we consider the three linearly independent linear forms:

$$L''_1(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) = \beta Z_1 - Z_2, \quad L''_2(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) = \alpha Z_2 - Z_3, \quad L''_3(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) = Z_1.$$

Evaluating them on the triple $(q_{s_n}, q_{s_n-1}, p_{s_n-1})$ with $n \in \mathcal{N}_1$, we infer from (5) and (9) that

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq 3} |L''_j(q_{s_n}, q_{s_n-1}, p_{s_n-1})| \ll q_{s_n}^{-0.7}.$$

It then follows from Theorem A that the points $(q_{s_n}, q_{s_n-1}, p_{s_n-1})$ with $n \in \mathcal{N}_1$ lie in a finite number of proper subspaces of \mathbf{Q}^3 . Thus, there exist a non-zero integer triple (z_1, z_2, z_3) and an infinite set of distinct positive integers \mathcal{N}_3 such that

$$z_1 q_{s_n} + z_2 q_{s_n-1} + z_3 p_{s_n-1} = 0, \quad (12)$$

for any n in \mathcal{N}_3 . Dividing (12) by q_{s_n-1} and letting n tend to infinity along \mathcal{N}_3 , we get

$$\frac{z_1}{\beta} + z_2 + z_3 \alpha = 0. \quad (13)$$

Observe that $y_2 \neq 0$ since α is irrational. We infer from (11) and (13) that

$$(z_3\alpha + z_2)(y_3\alpha + y_1) = y_2z_1.$$

If $y_3z_3 = 0$, then (11) and (13) yield that β is rational, which is a contradiction. Consequently, $y_3z_3 \neq 0$ and α is a quadratic real number, which is again a contradiction. This completes the proof of the second assertion of the theorem.

It then remains for us to explain why we can drop the assumption on the sequence $(q_\ell^{1/\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$ when w is sufficiently large. We return to the beginning of the proof, and we assume that $w \geq 2$. Using well-known facts from the theory of continuants (see e.g. [20]), inequality (3) becomes

$$|P_n(\alpha)| \ll q_{s_n} q_{2s_n}^{-2} \ll q_{s_n} q_{s_n}^{-4} \ll q_{s_n}^{-3} \ll H(P_n)^{-3},$$

where $H(P_n)$ denotes the height of the polynomial P_n , that is, the maximum of the absolute values of its coefficients. By the main result from [24] (or by using Theorem A with $m = 3$ and the linear forms $\alpha^2 X_2 + \alpha X_1 + X_0$, X_2 and X_1), this immediately implies that α is transcendental. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume that the parameters w and w' are fixed, as well as the sequences $(U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(V_n)_{n \geq 1}$ occurring in the definition of Condition $(**)_{w,w'}$. Without any loss of generality, we add in the statement of Condition $(**)_{w,w'}$ the following two assumptions:

- (iv) The sequence $(|U_n|)_{n \geq 1}$ is unbounded;
- (v) For any $n \geq 1$, the last letter of the word U_n differs from the last letter of the word V_n .

We point out that the conditions (iv) and (v) do not at all restrict the generality. Indeed, if (iv) is not fulfilled by a sequence \mathbf{a} satisfying (i) – (iii) of Condition $(**)_{w,w'}$, then the desired result follows from Theorem 1. To see that (v) does not cause any trouble, we make the following observation. Let a be a letter and U and V be two words such that \mathbf{a} begins with $Ua(Va)^w$. Then, \mathbf{a} also begins with $U(aV)^w$ and we have trivially $|U|/|aV| \leq |Ua|/|Va|$.

Set $r_n = |U_n|$ and $s_n = |V_n|$, for any $n \geq 1$. We want to prove that the real number

$$\alpha := [0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$$

is transcendental. We assume that α is algebraic of degree at least three and we aim at deriving a contradiction. Let $(p_\ell/q_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$ denote the sequence of convergents to α .

Let n be a positive integer. Since $w > 1$ and $r_n \leq w's_n$, we get

$$\frac{2r_n + s_n}{r_n + ws_n} \leq \frac{2w's_n + s_n}{w's_n + ws_n} = \frac{2w' + 1}{w' + w} < \frac{\log m}{\log M},$$

by (1). Consequently, there exist positive real numbers η and η' with $\eta < 1$ such that

$$(1 + \eta)(1 + \eta')(2r_n + s_n) \log M < (1 - \eta')(r_n + ws_n) \log m, \quad (14)$$

for any $n \geq 1$. Notice that we have

$$q_{\ell+1} \ll q_\ell^{1+\eta}, \quad \text{for any } \ell \geq 1, \quad (15)$$

by Roth's Theorem [23].

As for the proof of Theorem 1, we observe that α admits infinitely many good quadratic approximants obtained by truncating its continued fraction expansion and completing by periodicity. Precisely, for any positive integer n , we define the sequence $(b_k^{(n)})_{k \geq 1}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} b_h^{(n)} &= a_h \quad \text{for } 1 \leq h \leq r_n + s_n, \\ b_{r_n+h+j s_n}^{(n)} &= a_{r_n+h} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq s_n \text{ and } j \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence $(b_k^{(n)})_{k \geq 1}$ is eventually periodic, with preperiod U_n and with period V_n . Set

$$\alpha_n = [0; b_1^{(n)}, b_2^{(n)}, \dots]$$

and observe that α_n is root of the quadratic polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(X) &:= (q_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1})X^2 \\ &\quad - (q_{r_n-1}p_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}p_{r_n+s_n-1} + p_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n} - p_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1})X \\ &\quad + (p_{r_n-1}p_{r_n+s_n} - p_{r_n}p_{r_n+s_n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

For any positive integer n , we infer from Rolle's Theorem and Lemma 1 that

$$|P_n(\alpha)| = |P_n(\alpha) - P_n(\alpha_n)| \ll q_{r_n} q_{r_n+s_n} |\alpha - \alpha_n| \ll q_{r_n} q_{r_n+s_n} q_{r_n+[ws_n]}^{-2}, \quad (16)$$

since the first $r_n + [ws_n]$ partial quotients of α and α_n are the same. Furthermore, by (15), we have

$$|(q_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1})\alpha - (q_{r_n-1}p_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}p_{r_n+s_n-1})| \ll q_{r_n} q_{r_n+s_n}^{-1+\eta} \quad (17)$$

and

$$|(q_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1})\alpha - (p_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n} - p_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1})| \ll q_{r_n}^{-1+\eta} q_{r_n+s_n}. \quad (18)$$

We have as well the obvious upper bound

$$|q_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1}| \leq q_{r_n} q_{r_n+s_n}. \quad (19)$$

Consider now the four linearly independent linear forms:

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= \alpha^2 X_1 - \alpha(X_2 + X_3) + X_4, \\ L_2(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= \alpha X_1 - X_2, \\ L_3(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= \alpha X_1 - X_3, \\ L_4(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= X_1. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating them on the quadruple

$$\underline{z}_n := (q_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1}, q_{r_n-1}p_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}p_{r_n+s_n-1}, \\ p_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n} - p_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1}, p_{r_n-1}p_{r_n+s_n} - p_{r_n}p_{r_n+s_n-1}),$$

it follows from (16), (17), (18), and (19) that

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq 4} |L_j(\underline{z}_n)| \ll q_{r_n}^{2+\eta} q_{r_n+s_n}^{2+\eta} q_{r_n+[ws_n]}^{-2} \ll (q_{r_n} q_{r_n+s_n})^{-\eta} (q_{r_n}^{1+\eta} q_{r_n+s_n}^{1+\eta} q_{r_n+[ws_n]}^{-1})^2.$$

Assuming n sufficiently large, we have

$$q_{r_n} \leq M^{(1+\eta')r_n}, \quad q_{r_n+s_n} \leq M^{(1+\eta')(r_n+s_n)}, \quad \text{and} \quad q_{r_n+[ws_n]} \geq m^{(1-\eta')(r_n+ws_n)},$$

with η' as in (14). Consequently, we get

$$(q_{r_n}^{1+\eta} q_{r_n+s_n}^{1+\eta} q_{r_n+[ws_n]}^{-1}) \leq M^{(1+\eta)(1+\eta')(2r_n+s_n)} m^{-(1-\eta')(r_n+ws_n)} \leq 1,$$

by (14). Thus, we get the upper bound

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq 4} |L_j(\underline{z}_n)| \ll (q_{r_n} q_{r_n+s_n})^{-\eta}$$

for any positive integer n .

It then follows from Theorem A that the points \underline{z}_n lie in a finite number of proper subspaces of \mathbf{Q}^4 . Thus, there exist a non-zero integer quadruple (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) and an infinite set of distinct positive integers \mathcal{N}_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1(q_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1}) + x_2(q_{r_n-1}p_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}p_{r_n+s_n-1}) \\ & + x_3(p_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n} - p_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1}) + x_4(p_{r_n-1}p_{r_n+s_n} - p_{r_n}p_{r_n+s_n-1}) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

for any n in \mathcal{N}_1 .

Divide (20) by $q_{r_n} q_{r_n+s_n-1}$ and observe that p_{r_n}/q_{r_n} and $p_{r_n+s_n}/q_{r_n+s_n}$ tend to α as n tends to infinity along \mathcal{N}_1 . Taking the limit, we get that either

$$x_1 + (x_2 + x_3)\alpha + x_4\alpha^2 = 0 \tag{21}$$

or

$$\frac{q_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n}}{q_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1}} \quad \text{tends to 1 as } n \text{ tends to infinity along } \mathcal{N}_1 \quad (22)$$

must hold. In the former case, since α is irrational and not quadratic, we get that $x_1 = x_4 = 0$ and $x_2 = -x_3$. Then, x_2 is non-zero and, for any n in \mathcal{N}_1 , we have $q_{r_n-1}p_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}p_{r_n+s_n-1} = p_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n} - p_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1}$. Thus, the polynomial $P_n(X)$ can simply be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(X) &:= (q_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1})X^2 \\ &\quad - 2(q_{r_n-1}p_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}p_{r_n+s_n-1})X + (p_{r_n-1}p_{r_n+s_n} - p_{r_n}p_{r_n+s_n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Consider now the three linearly independent linear forms:

$$\begin{aligned} L'_1(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) &= \alpha^2 X_1 - 2\alpha X_2 + X_3, \\ L'_2(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) &= \alpha X_1 - X_2, \\ L'_3(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) &= X_1. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating them on the triple

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{z}'_n &:= (q_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1}, q_{r_n-1}p_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}p_{r_n+s_n-1}, \\ &\quad p_{r_n-1}p_{r_n+s_n} - p_{r_n}p_{r_n+s_n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (16), (17) and (19) that

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq 3} |L'_j(\underline{z}'_n)| \ll q_{r_n}^3 q_{r_n+s_n}^{1+\eta} q_{r_n+[ws_n]}^{-2} \ll q_{r_n}^2 q_{r_n+s_n}^{2+\eta} q_{r_n+[ws_n]}^{-2} \ll (q_{r_n} q_{r_n+s_n})^{-\eta},$$

by the above computation.

It then follows from Theorem A that the points \underline{z}'_n lie in a finite number of proper subspaces of \mathbf{Q}^3 . Thus, there exist a non-zero integer triple (x'_1, x'_2, x'_3) and an infinite set of distinct positive integers \mathcal{N}_2 included in \mathcal{N}_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} x'_1(q_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1}) &+ x'_2(q_{r_n-1}p_{r_n+s_n} - q_{r_n}p_{r_n+s_n-1}) \\ &+ x'_3(p_{r_n-1}p_{r_n+s_n} - p_{r_n}p_{r_n+s_n-1}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

for any n in \mathcal{N}_2 .

Divide (23) by $q_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1}$ and observe that p_{r_n}/q_{r_n} and $p_{r_n+s_n}/q_{r_n+s_n}$ tend to α as n tends to infinity along \mathcal{N}_2 . Taking the limit, we get that either

$$x'_1 + x'_2\alpha + x'_3\alpha^2 = 0 \quad (24)$$

or

$$\frac{q_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n}}{q_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1}} \quad \text{tends to 1 as } n \text{ tends to infinity along } \mathcal{N}_2 \quad (25)$$

must hold. In the former case, we have a contradiction since α is irrational and not quadratic.

Consequently, to conclude the proof of our theorem, it is enough to derive a contradiction from (22) (resp. from (25)), assuming that (21) (resp. (24)) does not hold. To this end, we observe that (20) (resp. (23)) allows us to control the speed of convergence of $Q_n := (q_{r_n-1}q_{r_n+s_n})/(q_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1})$ to 1 along \mathcal{N}_1 (resp. along \mathcal{N}_2).

Thus, we assume that the quadruple (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) obtained after the first application of Theorem A satisfies $x_1 + (x_2 + x_3)\alpha + x_4\alpha^2 \neq 0$. Dividing (20) by $q_{r_n}q_{r_n+s_n-1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1(Q_n - 1) + x_2 \left(Q_n \frac{p_{r_n+s_n}}{q_{r_n+s_n}} - \frac{p_{r_n+s_n-1}}{q_{r_n+s_n-1}} \right) + x_3 \left(Q_n \frac{p_{r_n-1}}{q_{r_n-1}} - \frac{p_{r_n}}{q_{r_n}} \right) \\ & + x_4 \left(Q_n \frac{p_{r_n-1}}{q_{r_n-1}} \frac{p_{r_n+s_n}}{q_{r_n+s_n}} - \frac{p_{r_n}}{q_{r_n}} \frac{p_{r_n+s_n-1}}{q_{r_n+s_n-1}} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for any n in \mathcal{N}_1 . To shorten the notation, for any $\ell \geq 1$, we put $R_\ell := \alpha - p_\ell/q_\ell$. We rewrite (26) as

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1(Q_n - 1) + x_2(Q_n(\alpha - R_{r_n+s_n}) - (\alpha - R_{r_n+s_n-1})) + x_3(Q_n(\alpha - R_{r_n-1}) - (\alpha - R_{r_n})) \\ & + x_4(Q_n(\alpha - R_{r_n-1})(\alpha - R_{r_n+s_n}) - (\alpha - R_{r_n})(\alpha - R_{r_n+s_n-1})) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} & (Q_n - 1)(x_1 + (x_2 + x_3)\alpha + x_4\alpha^2) \\ & = x_2Q_nR_{r_n+s_n} - x_2R_{r_n+s_n-1} + x_3Q_nR_{r_n-1} - x_3R_{r_n} - x_4Q_nR_{r_n-1}R_{r_n+s_n} \\ & + x_4R_{r_n}R_{r_n+s_n-1} + \alpha(x_4Q_nR_{r_n-1} + x_4Q_nR_{r_n+s_n} - x_4R_{r_n} - x_4R_{r_n+s_n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Observe that $|R_\ell| \leq 1/q_\ell^2$ for any $\ell \geq 1$. Furthermore, for n large enough, we have $1/2 \leq Q_n \leq 2$, by our assumption (22). Consequently, we derive from (27) that

$$|(Q_n - 1)(x_1 + (x_2 + x_3)\alpha + x_4\alpha^2)| \ll |R_{r_n-1}| \ll q_{r_n-1}^{-2}.$$

Since we have assumed that (21) does not hold, we get

$$|Q_n - 1| \ll q_{r_n-1}^{-2}. \quad (28)$$

On the other hand, observe that the rational number Q_n is the quotient of the two continued fractions $[a_{r_n+s_n}; a_{r_n+s_n-1}, \dots, a_1]$ and $[a_{r_n}; a_{r_n-1}, \dots, a_1]$. By assumption (v) from Condition $(**)_{w,w'}$, we have $a_{r_n+s_n} \neq a_{r_n}$, thus either $a_{r_n+s_n} - a_{r_n} \geq 1$ or $a_{r_n} - a_{r_n+s_n} \geq 1$ holds. A simple calculation then shows that

$$|Q_n - 1| \gg a_{r_n}^{-1} \min\{a_{r_n+s_n-1}^{-1} + a_{r_n-2}^{-1}, a_{r_n+s_n-2}^{-1} + a_{r_n-1}^{-1}\} \gg a_{r_n}^{-1} q_{r_n-1}^{-1},$$

since $q_{r_n-1} \geq \max\{a_{r_n-1}, a_{r_n-2}\}$. Combined with (28), this gives $a_{r_n} \gg q_{r_n-1}$ and

$$q_{r_n} \geq a_{r_n}q_{r_n-1} \gg q_{r_n-1}^2. \quad (29)$$

Since $\eta < 1$ and (29) holds for infinitely many n , we get a contradiction with (15).

We derive a contradiction from (25) in an entirely similar way. This completes the proof of our theorem. \square

5. Proofs of Theorem 3 to 5

Before establishing Theorems 3 to 5, we state an easy, but useful, auxiliary result.

Lemma 2. *Let σ be a prolongable morphism defined on a finite alphabet \mathcal{A} . Let \mathbf{a} be the associated fixed point and a be the first letter of \mathbf{a} . Then, there exists a positive constant c such that, for any positive integer n and any letter b occurring in \mathbf{a} , we have $|\sigma^n(a)| \geq c|\sigma^n(b)|$.*

Proof of Lemma 2. Without loss of generality, we may assume that \mathcal{A} is exactly the set of letters occurring in \mathbf{a} . Let b be in \mathcal{A} . Since \mathbf{a} is obtained as the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma^n(a)$, there exists an integer n_b such that the word $\sigma^{n_b}(a)$ contains the letter b . Set

$$s = \max_{b \in \mathcal{A}} \{|\sigma(b)|\} \quad \text{and} \quad n_0 = \max_{b \in \mathcal{A}} \{n_b\}.$$

Let n be a positive integer. If $n \leq n_0$, then we have

$$|\sigma^n(a)| \geq 1 \geq \frac{1}{s^{n_0}} |\sigma^n(b)|.$$

If $n > n_0$, then we get

$$|\sigma^n(a)| = |\sigma^{n-n_0}(\sigma^{n_0}(a))| \geq |\sigma^{n-n_0}(b)| \geq \frac{1}{s^{n_0}} |\sigma^n(b)|,$$

and the lemma follows by taking $c = s^{-n_0}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Let us assume that \mathbf{b} is a sequence generated by a recurrent morphism σ and that \mathbf{b} is not eventually periodic. There exists a fixed point \mathbf{a} of σ and a coding φ such that $\mathbf{b} = \varphi(\mathbf{a})$. By assumption, the first letter a which occurs in \mathbf{a} should appear at least twice. Thus, there exists a finite (possibly empty) word W such that aWa is a prefix of the word \mathbf{a} . We check that the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied by \mathbf{b} with the sequence $(V_n)_{n \geq 1}$ defined by $V_n = \varphi(\sigma^n(aW))$ for any $n \geq 1$. Indeed, by Lemma 2, there exists a positive rational number c , depending only on σ and W , such that

$$|\sigma^n(a)| \geq c |\sigma^n(aW)|.$$

This implies that $\varphi(\sigma^n(aWa))$ begins in $(\varphi(\sigma^n(aW)))^{1+c}$. Since $\varphi(\sigma^n(aWa))$ is a prefix of \mathbf{a} , we get that the sequence \mathbf{b} satisfies Condition $(*)_{1+c}$. We conclude by applying Theorem 1. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{X} = (X, S)$ be a subshift such that $p_{\mathcal{X}}(n) - n$ is bounded and let α be an element of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$. By definition of the set $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$, there exists a sequence $\mathbf{a} = (a_{\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$ in X such that $\alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$.

First, assume that the complexity function of the sequence \mathbf{a} satisfies $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) \leq n$ for some n . It follows from a theorem of Morse and Hedlund [19] that \mathbf{a} is eventually periodic, thus α is a quadratic number.

Now, assume that $p_{\mathcal{X}}(n) > n$ for every integer n . Since $p_{\mathcal{X}}(n) - n$ is bounded, there exist two positive integers n_0 and a such that $p_{\mathcal{X}}(n) = n + a$ for all $n \geq n_0$ (see for instance [4]). This implies (see e.g. [10]) that there exist a finite word W , a non-erasing morphism ϕ and a Sturmian sequence \mathbf{u} such that $\mathbf{a} = W\phi(\mathbf{u})$. Since \mathbf{u} begins in arbitrarily long squares (this is proved in [5]) and since ϕ is a non-erasing morphism, it follows that $\phi(\mathbf{u})$ also begins in arbitrarily long squares, hence, it satisfies Condition $(*)_2$. We then infer from Theorem 1 that the real number $\alpha' = [0; a_{|W|+1}, a_{|W|+2}, a_{|W|+3}, \dots]$ is transcendental. It immediately follows that α is a transcendental number, concluding the proof of the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 5. Let $\mathcal{X} = (X, S)$ be a linearly recurrent subshift and let α be an element of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$. By the definition of the set $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$, there exists a sequence $\mathbf{a} = (a_{\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$ in X such that $\alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$. By assumption, there exists a positive integer k such that the gap between two consecutive occurrences in \mathbf{a} of any factor W of length n is at most kn . For every positive integer n , let U_n denote the prefix of length n of \mathbf{a} and let W_n be the word of length kn defined by $U_{(k+1)n} = U_n W_n$. Since, by assumption, U_n has at least one occurrence in the word W_n , there exist two (possibly empty) finite words A_n and B_n such that $W_n = A_n U_n B_n$. It follows that $U_n A_n U_n$ is a prefix of \mathbf{a} and, moreover, $U_n A_n U_n = (U_n A_n)^w$ for some rational number w with $w \geq 1 + 1/k$. Then, either \mathbf{a} is eventually periodic (in which case α is a quadratic number) or \mathbf{a} satisfies the Condition $(*)_{1+1/k}$ and the transcendence of α follows from Theorem 1, concluding the proof. \square

Acknowledgements. We would like to warmly thank Jean-Paul Allouche for many useful remarks. The first author is also most grateful to Valérie Berthé for her constant help and support.

References

- [1] B. Adamczewski & Y. Bugeaud, *On the complexity of algebraic numbers I. Expansions in integer bases*. Preprint.
- [2] B. Adamczewski, Y. Bugeaud & J. L. Davison, *Transcendental continued fractions*. In preparation.
- [3] B. Adamczewski, Y. Bugeaud & F. Luca, *Sur la complexité des nombres algébriques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 339 (2004), 11–14.
- [4] J.-P. Allouche, *Nouveaux résultats de transcendance de réels à développements non aléatoire*, Gaz. Math. 84 (2000), 19–34.
- [5] J.-P. Allouche, J. L. Davison, M. Queffélec & L. Q. Zamboni, *Transcendence of Sturmian or morphic continued fractions*, J. Number Theory 91 (2001), 39–66.

- [6] J.-P. Allouche & J. O. Shallit, *Automatic Sequences: Theory, Applications, Generalizations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [7] D. H. Bailey, J. M. Borwein, R. E. Crandall & C. Pomerance, *On the binary expansions of algebraic numbers*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux. To appear.
- [8] C. Baxa, *Extremal values of continuants and transcendence of certain continued fractions*, Adv. in Appl. Math. 32 (2004), 754–790.
- [9] É. Borel, *Sur les chiffres décimaux de $\sqrt{2}$ et divers problèmes de probabilités en chaîne*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 230 (1950), 591–593.
- [10] J. Cassaigne, *Sequences with grouped factors*. In: DLT’97, Developments in Language Theory III, Thessaloniki, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (1998), 211–222.
- [11] A. Cobham, *Uniform tag sequences*, Math. Systems Theory 6 (1972), 164–192.
- [12] J. L. Davison, *A class of transcendental numbers with bounded partial quotients*. In R. A. Mollin, ed., *Number Theory and Applications*, pp. 365–371, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1989.
- [13] J. L. Davison, *Continued fractions with bounded partial quotients*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 45 (2002), 653–671.
- [14] F. Durand, *Linearly recurrent subshifts have a finite number of non-periodic subshift factors*, Erg. Th. Dyn. Syst. 20 (2000), 1061–1078. Corrigendum and addendum 23 (2003), 663–669.
- [15] J. Hartmanis & R. E. Stearns, *On the computational complexity of algorithms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1965), 285–306.
- [16] A. Ya. Khintchine, *Continued fractions*, Gosudarstv. Izdat. Tehn.-Theor. Lit. Moscow-Leningrad, 2nd edition, 1949 (in Russian).
- [17] S. Lang, *Introduction to Diophantine Approximations*, Springer-Verlag (1995).
- [18] M. Mendès France, *Principe de la symétrie perturbée*. In: Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris 1979-80, M.-J. Bertin (éd.), Birkhäuser, Boston, 1981, pp. 77–98.
- [19] M. Morse & G. A. Hedlund, *Symbolic dynamics*, Amer. J. Math. 60 (1938), 815–866.
- [20] O. Perron, *Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen*. Teubner, Leipzig, 1929.
- [21] M. Queffélec, *Transcendance des fractions continues de Thue–Morse*, J. Number Theory 73 (1998), 201–211.
- [22] M. Queffélec, *Irrational number with automaton-generated continued fraction expansion*. In: J.-M. Gambaudo, P. Hubert, P. Tisseur, and S. Vaienti, editors, *Dynamical Systems: From Crystal to Chaos*, World Scientific, (2000), 190–198.
- [23] K. F. Roth, *Rational approximations to algebraic numbers*, Mathematika 2 (1955), 1–20. Corrigendum *ibid*, 168.
- [24] W. M. Schmidt, *On simultaneous approximations of two algebraic numbers by rationals*, Acta Math. 119 (1967), 27–50.
- [25] W. M. Schmidt, *Norm form equations*, Ann. of Math. 96 (1972), 526–551.
- [26] W. M. Schmidt, *Diophantine approximation*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 785, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [27] J. O. Shallit, *Real numbers with bounded partial quotients*, Enseign. Math. 38 (1992), 151–187.
- [28] A. M. Turing, *On computable numbers, with an application to the Entscheidungsproblem*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 42 (1937), 230–265.

- [29] M. Waldschmidt, *Un demi-siècle de transcendance*. In: Development of mathematics 1950–2000, pp. 1121–1186, Birkhäuser, Basel (2000).

Boris Adamczewski
CNRS, Institut Camille Jordan
Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1
Bât. Braconnier, 21 avenue Claude Bernard
69622 VILLEURBANNE Cedex (FRANCE)

`Boris.Adamczewski@math.univ-lyon1.fr`

Yann Bugeaud
Université Louis Pasteur
U. F. R. de mathématiques
7, rue René Descartes
67084 STRASBOURG Cedex (FRANCE)

`bugeaud@math.u-strasbg.fr`